

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/609,250	Applicant(s) SELVAMANICKAM ET AL.	
	Examiner Ram N. Kackar	Art Unit 1792	

All Participants:

(1) Ram N. Kackar.

(2) Mr. David A. Schell.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims 26 and 48

Claims discussed:

26 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:

Vadya and Lijima

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed Examiner's amendment

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ram N Kackar/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)